



Next Generation Advisory Committee

Thursday, 3 Dec 2020

6:30 PM

Virtual Meeting

Participation Options for Non-Broadcast Virtual Meetings: Due to COVID-19, the City of Littleton is providing virtual coverage of public meetings for our boards and commissions to protect the health of citizens and staff. Meetings will not be held in person until further notice.

To participate during the public comment portion of this meeting, or to listen to the meeting live, please call **(669)-900-6833**. When prompted, enter the **Webinar ID: 968 7950 3360**. Contact Ryan Thompson at 303-795-3876 with any questions regarding this process.

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Approval of November 5, 2020 Meeting Minutes
 - a) **Attachment:** DRAFT Minutes 11/5/20
4. Public Comment
5. Member Check-Ins
 - a) What is your favourite holiday tradition?
6. NGAC Updates
8. Micro-mobility in Littleton
 - a) Small Group Presentations
 - i. Success of Other Cities & Geo Fencing
 - ii. Where micro-mobility did not work
7. Break (5 min)
9. Micro-Mobility Continued
 - iii. Community Policies (SSPRD, Englewood, etc....)
 - iv. Parking and Application Process
 - b) Next Steps
10. Committee Reports
 - a) Sustainability Committee
 - b) Zoning Committee

c) DIEB Committee- Next Meeting January 28, 2021

11. Announcements

- a) Staff Report
- b) City Council Representative Report, Pam Grove
- c) General Announcements

12. Adjournment



Type of Meeting: Regular monthly, Zoom

Meeting Number: 7

Minutes

1. Agenda item: Roll Call

City of Littleton Members Present: (2) Ryan Thompson, Pam Grove

NGAC Members Present: (9/15) Iftin Abshir, Alyssa Campbell, Charlee Ottersberg, Catherine (Kit) Riggs, , Faith Krech, Carson Wedding, Emily Przekwas (pra-zeek-ques), Larry Thompson, Abbie Steiner

NGAC Members Absent: (6/15) Cassie Sander, Ashley Ferris, Lauren Burgess, Lydia Phillips, Josh Hall, Jenny Makis

2-3. Agenda item: Approval of Agenda / 10.1.20 Minutes

Overview:

Approve agenda: Member Ottersberg

Second: Member Hall

Approved (9 in favor)

Approve minutes: Member Ottersberg

Second: Member Phillips

Approved (9 in favor)

4. Agenda item: Public Comment

Overview:

Commenter #1:

No public comment

Close at 6:35 PM

5. Agenda item: Member Introductions

- What fictional world or place would you like to visit? If you could choose your age forever, what age would you choose and why?
- Charlee: Harry Potter + age 19
- Iftin: Hogwarts + age 22
- Alyssa: Eragon world + Age 17
- Lydia: 7 waters series (ancient Ireland) + Age 16

- Ashley: Age 30 (freeing age)
- Josh: Disney world + Age 24
- Carson: Hogwarts + Age
- Faith: Hogwarts + Age 18
- Kit: Star trek + 30+
- Pam: early 40s
- Ryan: Willy Wonka + 25

6. Agenda item: Presentation to Council and Future of NGAC

Overview:

- November 10, 2020 Council Study Session
 - When the committee was formed, it was with the idea that it would be reevaluated this December.
 - There is a council meeting 11/10
 - Everyone is invited to come, please let Josh know if you are able to make it
- Littleton Residency Requirement
 - Right now the charter says you can live, work, or go to school within the city of Littleton.
 - Right now, only the transportation mobility committee has this element.
 - Alyssa: I do not agree with this. I do not live in Littleton proper, but I consider myself part of Littleton. As someone who works for a large business within the city limits. This would eliminate people who don't live in the city and are impacted by these decisions.
 - Josh: Some context, as we see who has been most involved, it those who have been in the city. It is easier to verify an address, vs where someone might work.
 - Iftin: this would bring us more in line with the rest of the city committees
 - Ashley: will this solve the problem?
 - Iftin: we have seen involvement in the committee lacking by those who aren't
 - Charlee: seconds Ashley's question
 - Kit: You may not be solving the problem you're trying to solve with the solution brought forward. This is a small sample size we are pulling from. Could this be better addressed though the interview process?
 - Alyssa: Agreeing with Kit, this is an interview process issue. Some of the frustration this year could be related to other topics vs commitment to the city.
 - Kit: Are we talking around something?
 - Josh: We had two members who did not live in the city, but they were very self-serving in their motives
 - Lydia: Along with the interview process, can we take it on a case by case basis. There should be consequences for people who do not show up.
 - Iftin: if you miss more than 3 meetings, you will no longer be on the committee.
 - Pam: during the interview process, we asked every person if coming to the meetings was a problem. If absences are consistent then we loose that consistency. It is not fair to the people who didn't accept
 - Charlee: at least 50% of people who don't live in Littleton are here tonight. What was the purpose of having people the work requirements
 - Ryan: people are committed until they are not. Demographics have very little to do with this. Life happens, things change
 - It was created for live and work because we wanted to be inclusive. It was to encompass as many as possible.
 - I have faith in every applicant until they are not committed. There is no way to tell at the beginning who will be committed or not.
 - This does not need to be decided tonight. The importance of next Tuesday is to not allow us to sunset.
 - Pam: it does need to be decided because of the county clerk's deadline of Dec 1 for recruitment
 - I did let the mayor know you may want to bring this up.

- Kit: Over the last two meetings we have spent a lot of time talking about the board and how to run and function. This is impacting my enthusiasm and involvement more than anything else. We are spending a lot of time talking about this, instead of what we are really here for.
- *Member Ottersberg moves that we do not change the membership requirements. Seconded by Member Campbell.*
 - 7 in favor
 - 2 against
 - *The motion passes.*
- 2021 Membership Recruitment
 - Recruitment for our committee will be tied into the main recruitment for all of the boards and commissions
 - Opens in January
 - Interviews February
 - Voted on in March
 - First meeting in April
 - In the past we have done other types of recruitment
 - Flyer to schools, business, etc
 - This is part of the work plan moving forward

7. Agenda item: Micro-mobility in Littleton

Overview:

- Pros and Cons – continuation of October conversation
 - Iftin: this is a piece that seems to be interesting to council
 - Keith Reester is interested in learning more about our input as well.
 - Pros:
 - Charlee: Helps to save the last mile problem around public transit
 - Iftin: city could address parking issues through code
 - Charlee: Causes people to think differently about transportation
 - Iftin: it is a green method of transportation
 - Iftin: could alleviate some of the parking frustrations
 - Cons:
 - Charlee: Safety issue, is the public health risk worth it
 - Faith: concerned about people leaving the scooters around
 - Iftin: it can be nuisance and a health risk for tripping
 - Josh: in order charge them, people can get competitive to collect the scooters to charge them
 - Lydia: Where does the liability fall for Littleton
 - Ashley: it seems like a lot of the issues live with companies who just dumped them. If it is in conjunction with the city, can we mitigate these issues
 - Iftin: positive or negative either way. There needs to be policies in place
 - Josh: Does South Suburban have a take on microbility? What is Englewood's take?
 - Charlee: I want to see studies on geofencing. Also, what have other cities found?
 - Josh: for Fort Collins, they have bike lanes and Littleton does not have this.
 - Ashley: do we have the infrastructure to support his
 - Alyssa: there are some people who don't want to walk between the light rail to the center of Aspen Grove.
 - This was a lot of elderly folks interested in this
 - As a young person though, I would use this
 - Iftin: How successful were the pedicabs for weekends on main?
 - Ryan/Pam: there were deemed as not viable.
 - Are there concerns around once you let one in, do you have to let them all in,
 - Ashley: Is there a fiscal benefit to the city?
 - Ryan: will be really minimal
 - Iftin: Is there any cost to the city?
- Next Steps

- Iftin to compile a list of questions
- Iftin to send out the work plan
 - We started the conversation in October
 - February to come back with a finished product we can present to council and public works.
- Does anyone want to take this issue up?
 - Research what has been done well in other cities
 - Develop recommendations
- By December Meeting
 - Address questions to these answers
 - Policies of neighbors: Josh + Carson
 - Cities success stories + Geofencing: Charlee + Lydia
 - Cities where it doesn't work: Alyssa + Faith
 - Public Health perspective: Abbie + Kit
 - Policy specific Options (Parking, application process): Iftin + Ashley
- Timeline
 - December: present back with answers
 - January: draft report
 - February: present to council

8. Agenda item: Introduction to Santa Fe PEL Study

Overview:

- Attachment: Santa Fe PEL C-470 to I-25 Project Overview
- Attachment: Santa Fe PEL Project Timeline
- Attachment: US 85/Santa Fe Drive PEL City Council Briefing #3, Aug 2020
- Planning and Environmental Linkages Study
- Pam: we are hoping people can look to the future. When you are driving up an down, can you think about what you want to see along Santa Fe
- There is i

9. Agenda item: Committee Reports

Overview:

- Sustainability Committee
 - We will have a meeting in November
 - November 16
 - In a transition phase
 - Josh will be taking over as lead.
 - Powers Park Project
 - This is moving into the next step
 - Some community members are interested in a survey for what people in the area want to see in the park.
 - This is on the horizon for Spring
- Zoning/ULUC Committee
 - We did not meet this month
 - Next meeting will be 11/12
 - Focus will be on the Santa Fe PEL study + Mid Mod Mile
 - Kim would be interested in taking folks on a walking tour along the Mid Mod Mile
- DIEB Committee
 - We met this last month

- Finalized meeting date
 - Last Thursday of the month 6-7pm
 - Spoke about the Littleton Immigrant resource center
 - Providing ESL classes
 - Assisting with legal issues
 - No longer providing citizenship classes
 - If you are interested in volunteering with LIRC please reach out
 - Looking for office support
 - Language partner
- Next meeting will be Jan 28

10. Agenda item: Announcements

Staff Report:

- Just finished weekends on Main
 - Big success, looking to do it in March
 - Not a lot of desire from merchants outside of WoM
- Mediations have stopped, but Ryan is happy to tell you about it
- Sound and Noise Levels will become a topic next year
 -

Council Report:

- Next Monday, the envision process will start talking about corridors. 4:30 – 6:00pm
- Council will be looking into Short Term Rentals
 - Licensing
- Marijuana initiative passed
 - Admin related issues
- Direct Elect Mayor passed
 - Instead of 4 at large and 4 districts
 - 2 at large and 1 mayor + 4 districts
 - Things will be changing with the city
 - If a sitting council member wants to run, they will need to declare by summer and will roll off of council if they do not win

General Announcements:

- Corridors session on Monday, November 9 from 4:30-6:00pm
- Tuesday, November 10, Council study session

10. Agenda item: Adjournment

- Motion to adjourn – Member Phillips
 - Second – Member Riggs
 - Motion Passed – 8:24pm
-