



Type of Meeting: Regular monthly, Zoom

Meeting Number: 7

Minutes

1. Agenda item: Roll Call

City of Littleton Members Present: (2) Ryan Thompson, Pam Grove

NGAC Members Present: (9/15) Iftin Abshir, Alyssa Campbell, Charlee Ottersberg, Catherine (Kit) Riggs, Faith Krech, Carson Wedding, Josh Hall, Lydia Phillips, Ashley Farris

NGAC Members Absent: (6/15) Cassie Sander, Jenny Makis, Abbie Steiner, Emily Przekwas, Larry Thompson,

2-3. Agenda item: Approval of Agenda / 10.1.20 Minutes

Overview:

Approve agenda: Member Ottersberg

Second: Member Hall

Approved (9 in favor)

Approve minutes: Member Ottersberg

Second: Member Phillips

Approved (9 in favor)

4. Agenda item: Public Comment

Overview:

Commenter #1:

No public comment

Close at 6:35 PM

5. Agenda item: Member Introductions

- What fictional world or place would you like to visit? If you could choose your age forever, what age would you choose and why?
- Charlee: Harry Potter + age 19
- Iftin: Hogwarts + age 22
- Alyssa: Eragon world + Age 17
- Lydia: 7 waters series (ancient Ireland) + Age 16

- Ashley: Age 30 (freeing age)
- Josh: Disney world + Age 24
- Carson: Hogwarts + Age
- Faith: Hogwarts + Age 18
- Kit: Star trek + 30+
- Pam: early 40s
- Ryan: Willy Wonka + 25

6. Agenda item: Presentation to Council and Future of NGAC

Overview:

- November 10, 2020 Council Study Session
 - When the committee was formed, it was with the idea that it would be reevaluated this December.
 - There is a council meeting 11/10
 - Everyone is invited to come, please let Josh know if you are able to make it
- Littleton Residency Requirement
 - Right now the charter says you can live, work, or go to school within the city of Littleton.
 - Right now, only the transportation mobility committee has this element.
 - Alyssa: I do not agree with this. I do not live in Littleton proper, but I consider myself part of Littleton. As someone who works for a large business within the city limits. This would eliminate people who don't live in the city and are impacted by these decisions.
 - Josh: Some context, as we see who has been most involved, it those who have been in the city. It is easier to verify an address, vs where someone might work.
 - Iftin: this would bring us more in line with the rest of the city committees
 - Ashley: will this solve the problem?
 - Iftin: we have seen involvement in the committee lacking by those who aren't
 - Charlee: seconds Ashley's question
 - Kit: You may not be solving the problem you're trying to solve with the solution brought forward. This is a small sample size we are pulling from. Could this be better addressed though the interview process?
 - Alyssa: Agreeing with Kit, this is an interview process issue. Some of the frustration this year could be related to other topics vs commitment to the city.
 - Kit: Are we talking around something?
 - Josh: We had two members who did not live in the city, but they were very self-serving in their motives
 - Lydia: Along with the interview process, can we take it on a case by case basis. There should be consequences for people who do not show up.
 - Iftin: if you miss more than 3 meetings, you will no longer be on the committee.
 - Pam: during the interview process, we asked every person if coming to the meetings was a problem. If absences are consistent then we loose that consistency. It is not fair to the people who didn't accept
 - Charlee: at least 50% of people who don't live in Littleton are here tonight. What was the purpose of having people the work requirements
 - Ryan: people are committed until they are not. Demographics have very little to do with this. Life happens, things change
 - It was created for live and work because we wanted to be inclusive. It was to encompass as many as possible.

- I have faith in every applicant until they are not committed. There is no way to tell at the beginning who will be committed or not.
 - This does not need to be decided tonight. The importance of next Tuesday is to not allow us to sunset.
 - Pam: it does need to be decided because of the county clerk's deadline of Dec 1 for recruitment
 - I did let the mayor know you may want to bring this up.
 - Kit: Over the last two meetings we have spent a lot of time talking about the board and how to run and function. This is impacting my enthusiasm and involvement more than anything else. We are spending a lot of time talking about this, instead of what we are really here for.
- *Member Ottersberg moves that we do not change the membership requirements. Seconded by Member Campbell.*
 - *7 in favor*
 - *2 against*
 - *The motion passes.*
- 2021 Membership Recruitment
 - Recruitment for our committee will be tied into the main recruitment for all of the boards and commissions
 - Opens in January
 - Interviews February
 - Voted on in March
 - First meeting in April
 - In the past we have done other types of recruitment
 - Flyer to schools, business, etc
 - This is part of the work plan moving forward

7. Agenda item: Micro-mobility in Littleton

Overview:

- Pros and Cons – continuation of October conversation
 - Iftin: this is a piece that seems to be interesting to council
 - Keith Reester is interested in learning more about our input as well.
 - Pros:
 - Charlee: Helps to save the last mile problem around public transit
 - Iftin: city could address parking issues through code
 - Charlee: Causes people to think differently about transportation
 - Iftin: it is a green method of transportation
 - Iftin: could alleviate some of the parking frustrations
 - Cons:
 - Charlee: Safety issue, is the public health risk worth it
 - Faith: concerned about people leaving the scooters around
 - Iftin: it can be nuisance and a health risk for tripping
 - Josh: in order charge them, people can get competitive to collect the scooters to charge them
 - Lydia: Where does the liability fall for Littleton
 - Ashley: it seems like a lot of the issues live with companies who just dumped them. If it is in conjunction with the city, can we mitigate these issues
 - Iftin: positive or negative either way. There needs to be policies in place
 - Josh: Does South Suburban have a take on microbilty? What is Englewood's take?
 - Charlee: I want to see studies on geofencing. Also, what have other cities found?
 - Josh: for Fort Collins, they have bike lanes and Littleton does not have this.
 - Ashley: do we have the infrastructure to support his

- Alyssa: there are some people who don't want to walk between the light rail to the center of Aspen Grove.
 - This was a lot of elderly folks interested in this
 - As a young person though, I would use this
- Iftin: How successful were the pedicabs for weekends on main?
 - Ryan/Pam: there were deemed as not viable.
- Are there concerns around once you let one in, do you have to let them all in,
- Ashley: Is there a fiscal benefit to the city?
 - Ryan: will be really minimal
- Iftin: Is there any cost to the city?
- Next Steps
 - Iftin to compile a list of questions
 - Iftin to send out the work plan
 - We started the conversation in October
 - February to come back with a finished product we can present to council and public works.
 - Does anyone want to take this issue up?
 - Research what has been done well in other cities
 - Develop recommendations
 - By December Meeting
 - Address questions to these answers
 - Policies of neighbors: Josh + Carson
 - Cities success stories + Geofencing: Charlee + Lydia
 - Cities where it doesn't work: Alyssa + Faith
 - Public Health perspective: Abbie + Kit
 - Policy specific Options (Parking, application process): Iftin + Ashley
- Timeline
 - December: present back with answers
 - January: draft report
 - February: present to council

8. Agenda item: Introduction to Santa Fe PEL Study

Overview:

- Attachment: Santa Fe PEL C-470 to I-25 Project Overview
- Attachment: Santa Fe PEL Project Timeline
- Attachment: US 85/Santa Fe Drive PEL City Council Briefing #3, Aug 2020
- Planning and Environmental Linkages Study
- Pam: we are hoping people can look to the future. When you are driving up an down, can you think about what you want to see along Santa Fe
- There is i

9. Agenda item: Committee Reports

Overview:

- Sustainability Committee
 - We will have a meeting in November
 - November 16
 - In a transition phase
 - Josh will be taking over as lead.
 - Powers Park Project
 - This is moving into the next step

- Some community members are interested in a survey for what people in the area want to see in the park.
 - This is on the horizon for Spring
- Zoning/ULUC Committee
 - We did not meet this month
 - Next meeting will be 11/12
 - Focus will be on the Santa Fe PEL study + Mid Mod Mile
 - Kim would be interested in taking folks on a walking tour along the Mid Mod Mile
- DIEB Committee
 - We met this last month
 - Finalized meeting date
 - Last Thursday of the month 6-7pm
 - Spoke about the Littleton Immigrant resource center
 - Providing ESL classes
 - Assisting with legal issues
 - No longer providing citizenship classes
 - If you are interested in volunteering with LIRC please reach out
 - Looking for office support
 - Language partner
 - Next meeting will be Jan 28

10. Agenda item: Announcements

Staff Report:

- Just finished weekends on Main
 - Big success, looking to do it in March
 - Not a lot of desire from merchants outside of WoM
- Mediations have stopped, but Ryan is happy to tell you about it
- Sound and Noise Levels will become a topic next year
 -

Council Report:

- Next Monday, the envision process will start talking about corridors. 4:30 – 6:00pm
- Council will be looking into Short Term Rentals
 - Licensing
- Marijuana initiative passed
 - Admin related issues
- Direct Elect Mayor passed
 - Instead of 4 at large and 4 districts
 - 2 at large and 1 mayor + 4 districts
 - Things will be changing with the city
 - If a sitting council member wants to run, they will need to declare by summer and will roll off of council if they do not win

General Announcements:

- Corridors session on Monday, November 9 from 4:30-6:00pm
- Tuesday, November 10, Council study session

10. Agenda item: Adjournment

- Motion to adjourn – Member Phillips
- Second – Member Riggs
- Motion Passed – 8:24pm

Charlee Ottersberg

Charlee Ottersberg, NGAC Secretary

Approved as submitted on December 3, 2020